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## Background

## Abstract

- In 1640, Pierre de Fermat sent a letter to Bernard Frenicle de Bessy claiming that there are no four or more rational squares in a nontrivial arithmetic progression.
- Each 4-term arithmetic progression of perfect squares corresponds to a rational point ( $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{y}: \mathrm{z}$ ) on the elliptical curve

$$
E: y^{2} z=x^{3}+5 x^{2} z+4 x z^{2}
$$

and one shows that $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq Z_{2} \times Z_{4}$ consists of finitely many rational points.

## Background

## Abstract

- Similar arithmetic progressions have also been studied. There are only finitely many 3-term arithmetic progressions whose terms are perfect cubes: $\{-1,01\}$ for example.
- Each 3-term arithmetic progressions of perfect cubes corresponds to a rational point ( $x: y: z$ ) on the elliptic curve

$$
E: y^{2} z=x^{3}-27 z^{3}
$$

and one shows that $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq Z_{2}$ consist of finitely many rational points

## Overview

## Intro

- An m-term arithmetic progression is a collection of ration numbers $n_{1}, n_{2}, ., n_{m}$ such that there is a common difference $d=n_{i+1}-n_{i}$.
- Examples of non-constant 3 - term arithmetic progressions are $\{-1,0,1\}$ and $\{1,25,49\}$, where the common differences are $d=1$ and $d=24$, respectively.
- The latter example fits into a large family. There are infinitely many 3-term arithmetic progressions whose terms are perfect squares: consider for example the set

$$
\left\{\left(x^{2}-2 x z-z^{2}\right)^{2},\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2},\left(x^{2}+2 x z-z^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

for any rational numbers $x$ and $z$.

## Overview

## Intro

- Both of these results can be generalized by working with larger fields. A 2009 paper in the ArXiv by Enrique Gonzalez-Jimenez and Jorn Steuding entitles "Arithmetic progressions of four squares over quadratic fields" discussed a slight generalization by looking at four squares in an arithmetic progression over quadratic extensions of the rational numbers.
- For example, one can use these results to construct the arithmetic progression

$$
\left\{(9-5 \sqrt{6})^{2},(15-\sqrt{6})^{2},(15+\sqrt{6})^{2},(9+5 \sqrt{6})^{2}\right\}
$$

## Overview

## Intro

- Similarly, a 2010 paper by Enrique Gonzalez-Jimenez entitled "Three cubes in arithmetic progression over quadratic fields" discussed a slight generalization by looking at three cubes in an arithmetic progression over the same quadratic extensions.
- As an example, one can use these results to construct the arithmetic progression.

$$
\left\{(4-21 \sqrt{2})^{3}, 22^{3},(4+21 \sqrt{2})^{3}\right\}
$$

## Motivation

## Summary

In this project, we seek to give explicit examples of four squares in arithmetic progressions as well as three cubes in arithmetic progression, and recast many ideas by performing a complete 2-descent of quadratic twists of certain elliptic curves. This will extend a 2010 paper Alexander Diaz, Zachary Flores, and Markus Vasquez entitled "Arithmetic Progression over Quadratic Fields"

## Definition

## Proposition

Consider the curve

$$
E: y^{2}+a_{1} x y+a_{3} y=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{4} x+a_{6}
$$

Using a substitution, we find a curve in the form $Y^{2}=X^{3}+A X+B$. This is a nonsingular curve if and only if $4 A^{3}+27 B^{2} \neq 0$.

A nonsingular curve as in the proposition above is called an elliptic curve. They can be defined over any field K. K-rational points are points on the curve whose coordinates belong to K .

## Remark

Elliptic curves are helpful because we can use it to generate solutions of diophantine equations, pythagorean triplets, heron triangles, and so on.

## Chord-Tangent Method

- The idea behind considering non singular curves is we can draw lines - including tangent lines - to generate several points from a few known ones.
- If $P$ and $Q$ are $K$-rational points on an elliptic curve $E$, draw a line through them. If $P=Q$, then draw the line tangent to the curve at $P$; this line is well-defined because the gradient exists at all points on $E$.
- This line will intersect the curve as a third K-rational point, say $\mathrm{P}^{*} \mathrm{Q}$. This process is known as the chord-tangent method.


## Theorem

Denote $K$ as either $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$, or $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the elliptic curve

$$
E: y^{2}+a_{1} x y+a_{3} y=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{4} x+a_{6}
$$

where $a_{i} \in K$. Let * denote the composition law which takes two $K$-rational points $P$ and $Q$ and computes the point of intersection $P^{*} Q$ of the projective curve $E$ and the line through $P$ and $Q$. Define the composition law $P \oplus Q=(P * Q) * O$. This turns $(E(K), \oplus)$ into an abelian group.

## Theorem (L.J.Mordell, 1922)

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is a finitely generated abelian group. In particular,

$$
E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r}
$$

for some nonnegative integer $r$.

## Squares in Arithmetic Progressions

## Theorem

Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{0}(24): y^{2}=x^{3}+5 x^{2}+4 x \\
X_{0}^{(D)}(24): y^{2}=x^{3}+5 D x^{2}+4 D^{2} x
\end{gathered}
$$

Then there exists a nonconstant / nontrivial progression of four squares over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, if and only if rank $X_{0}^{(D)}(24)(\mathbb{Q})>0$.

## An Arithmetic Progression to a Point

Given a 4-term arithmetic progression of four squares ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}$ ), let a rational point $(x: y: z)$ satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=2\left(\sqrt{n_{1}}-3 \sqrt{n_{2}}-3 \sqrt{n_{3}}+\sqrt{n_{4}}\right) \\
y=6\left(\sqrt{n_{1}}-\sqrt{n_{2}}+\sqrt{n_{3}}-\sqrt{n_{4}}\right) \\
z=\sqrt{n_{1}}+3 \sqrt{n_{2}}+3 \sqrt{n_{3}}+\sqrt{n_{4}}
\end{gathered}
$$

This constitutes a point on the curve $y^{2} z=x^{3}+5 x^{2} z+4 x z^{2}$
Rational Points on $y^{2} z=x^{3}+5 x^{2} z+4 x z^{2}$

| $\left(\sqrt{n_{1}}: \sqrt{n_{2}}: \sqrt{n_{3}}: \sqrt{n_{4}}\right)$ | $(x: y: z)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(-1:-1:+1:+1)$ | $(0: 1: 0)$ |
| $(-1:+1:-1:+1)$ | $(0: 0: 1)$ |
| $(-1:-1:-1:+1)$ | $(-2:+2: 1)$ |
| $(-1:+1:+1:+1)$ | $(-2:-2: 1)$ |
| $(+1:+1:+1:+1)$ | $(-1: 0: 1)$ |
| $(+1:-1:-1:+1)$ | $(-4: 0: 1)$ |
| $(+1:+1:-1:+1)$ | $(2:+6: 1)$ |
| $(+1:-1:+1:+1)$ | $(2:-6: 1)$ |

From this we can conclude that there are no nontrivial arithmetic progressions of four rational squares over $\mathbb{Q}$. Additionally we observe that $X_{0}(24) \cong Z_{2} \times Z_{4}$ as an abelian group.

## A Point to an Arithmetic Progression

Given a nonzero rational number $D$, we say that $X_{0}^{(D)}(24): y^{2} z=x^{3}+5 D x^{2} z+4 D^{2} x z^{2}$ has a nontrivial rational point ( $x: y: z$ ). We then have an arithmetic progression of four-squares $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ given by the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=(3 D x(x+2 D z)+\sqrt{D} y(x-2 D z))^{2} \\
n_{2}=(D x(x-2 D z)+\sqrt{D y}(x+2 D z))^{2} \\
n_{3}=(D x(x-2 D z)-\sqrt{D y}(x+2 D z))^{2} \\
n_{4}=(3 D x(x+2 D z)-\sqrt{D y}(x-2 D z))^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example

Consider the case when $D=6$, then the rational point $(x: y: z)=(-8:-16: 1)$ is on the curve $X_{0}^{(D)}(24)$. Using this case, we get the following progression

$$
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)=\left((9-5 \sqrt{6})^{2},(15-\sqrt{6})^{2},(15+\sqrt{6})^{2},(9+5 \sqrt{6})^{2}\right)
$$

## Lemma for Ranks

We have found that the 2-torsion subgroup of $X_{0}(24)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. From Kwon's (as cited by Gonzalez-Jimenez, Steuding) results we conclude that $X_{0}(24)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))_{\text {tors }}$ and $X_{0}(24)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$ are equal.

We can conclude that if there exists a non-constant / nontrivial progression of four-squares over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, then there are infinitely many arithmetic progressions of four-squares.
An equivalent statement would be: if there exists a non-constant / nontrivial progression of four-squares over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, then rank $X_{0}(24)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})>0$.

## Mordell-Weil Theorem

## Theorem

(L. J. Mordell, 1922). Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is a finitely generated Abelian group. In particular,

$$
E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} \times(\mathbb{Z})^{r}
$$

for some nonnegative integer $r$.

## Mazur's theorem

## Theorem

(B. Mazur, 1978). Let $E$ be a rational elliptic curve, and let $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$ denote its torsion subgroup. This finite group can only be one of fifteen types:

$$
E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} \simeq \begin{cases}Z_{N}, & \text { for } N=1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12 \\ Z_{2} \times Z_{2 N} & \text { for } N=1,2,3,4\end{cases}
$$

In the case of arithmetic progressions of four squares, the torsion subgroup of the related elliptic curve $X_{0}^{D}(24)$ is always $Z_{2} \times Z_{4}$.

Let E be an elliptic curve over K , with all four points of order 2 being K-rational i.e.,

$$
E: Y^{2}=\left(X-e_{1}\right)\left(X-e_{2}\right)\left(X-e_{3}\right)
$$

where $e_{i} \in K$.
We define the map

$$
e_{2}: \frac{E(K)}{2 E(K)} \times E[2] \rightarrow \frac{K^{\times}}{\left(K^{\times}\right)^{2}},(P, T) \mapsto \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } T=\mathcal{O} \\ X-e & \text { otherwise } ;\end{cases}
$$

where $P=(X: Y: 1)$ and $T=(e: 0: 1)$. This map is sometimes called the Tate pairing.

## Theorem

(The Tate Pairing). Tate pairing is a perfect pairing, as it is
(1) Non-degenerate: If $e_{2}(P, T)=1$ for all $T \in E[2]$ then $P \in 2 E(K)$.
(2) Bilinear: for all $P, Q \in E(K)$ and $T \in E[2]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{2}(P \oplus Q, T) & =e_{2}(P, T) \times e_{2}(Q, T), \\
e_{2}\left(P, T_{1} \oplus T_{2}\right) & =e_{2}\left(P, T_{1}\right) \times e_{2}\left(P, T_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Complete 2-Descent

## Theorem

(Complete 2-Descent). Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ with $E[2] \subseteq E(K)$ i.e.,

$$
E: Y^{2}=\left(X-e_{1}\right)\left(X-e_{2}\right)\left(X-e_{3}\right)
$$

where $e_{i} \in K$.

1. Let $\delta_{E}: \frac{E(K)}{2 E(K)} \rightarrow \frac{\left(K^{*}\right)}{\left(K^{*}\right)^{2}} \times \frac{\left(K^{\times}\right)}{\left(K^{\times}\right)^{2}}, P \mapsto\left(e_{2}\left(P, T_{1}\right), e_{2}\left(P, T_{2}\right)\right)$; where $e_{2}$ is the Tate pairing and $T_{i}=\left(e_{i}: 0: 1\right) \in E[2]$. This is an injective group homomorphism.
Furthermore the image of $\delta_{i}$ lies in the finitely generated Abelian group generated by the set $\mathbb{Q}\left(S_{i}, 2\right)$, which is the collection of all square-free divisors of $S_{i}$.

## Complete 2-Descent

## Theorem

2. For each $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \in K^{\times} \times K^{\times}$, consider the projective curve

$$
\mathcal{C}_{d}: d_{1} u^{2}-d_{2} v^{2}=\left(e_{2}-e_{1}\right), d_{1} u^{2}-d_{z} d_{2} w^{2}=\left(e_{3}-e_{1}\right)
$$

If $d \equiv \delta_{E}(P)$ for some $P \in E(K)$ then there is an $K$-rational point $(u, v, w)$ on $\mathcal{C}_{d}$. Conversely, the map $\psi: \mathcal{C}_{d} \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$
\left(z_{1}: z_{2}: z_{3}: z_{4}\right) \mapsto\left(d_{1} z_{1}^{2} z_{0}+e_{1} z_{0}^{3}: d_{1} d_{2} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}: z_{0}^{3}\right)
$$

sends a point $Z \in \mathcal{C}_{d}(K)$ to a point $\psi(Z) \in E(K)$, and

$$
\delta_{E}(\psi(Z))=\left(d_{1}\left(\bmod \left(K^{\times}\right)^{2}\right), d_{2}\left(\bmod \left(K^{\times}\right)^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

## Computing The Rank

As $E(\mathbb{Q})=E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} \times Z^{r} \cong Z_{2} \times Z_{2 N} \times Z^{r}$, we have $2 E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong Z_{N} \times\left(Z_{2}\right)^{r}$, thus $\frac{E(\mathbb{Z})}{2 E(\mathbb{Z}} \cong\left(Z_{2}\right)^{r+2}$. We compute the image of the connecting homomorphism $\delta_{E}$; there will be $2^{r+2}$ elements, where $r$ is the rank of $E$.

## Motivation for Proving No Solutions

There is a motivation for eliminating points $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ from the image of $\delta_{E}$ for a given $D=m p$. We will eliminate points by showing that the equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{1} u^{\prime 2}-d_{2} v^{\prime 2}=-D \\
d_{2} v^{\prime 2}-d_{1} d_{2} w^{\prime 2}=-3 D
\end{gathered}
$$

do not have a rational solution $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$

## Key Equations

We can write $u^{\prime}=\frac{u}{z}, v^{\prime}=\frac{v}{z}$, and $w^{\prime}=\frac{w}{z}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v, w, z)=1$ and $z \neq 0$. Using these substitutions and multiplying through by $z^{2}$ we get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{1} u^{2}-d_{2} v^{2}=-D z^{2} \\
d_{2} v^{2}-d_{1} d_{2} w^{2}=-3 D z^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

So if these equations don't have an integer solution $(u, v, w, z)$, we can eliminate $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ from the image.

- We know that the image of $\delta_{E}$ is a multiplicative group.
- We know that the points $(1,1),(1, D),(-D,-3)$, and $(-D,-3 D)$ are in the image of $\delta_{E}$.
- Thus, if we know a point $x$ is not in the image of $\delta_{E}$, we can conclude that the product of $x$ and any point in the image of $\delta_{E}$ is not in the image of $\delta_{E}$.
- Notice equation 1 and equation 2 can easily be rearranged to the form:

$$
a x^{2}+b y^{2}+c z^{2}=0
$$

Where $x, y$, and $z$ are integers such that $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y, z)=1$.

- Also notice that $a, b$, and $c$ are symmetric in this equation.
- Theorems have been formulated for conditions on $a, b$, and $c$ that yield no integer solutions to the equation.


## Theorem

If $a, b$, and $c$ have the same sign and are all non-zero, there are no solutions to $a x^{2}+b y^{2}+c z^{2}=0$.

## Theorem

If $a \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3), a \equiv b(\bmod 3)$, and $c \equiv 3,6(\bmod 9)$, then $a x^{2}+b y^{2}+c z^{2}=0$ has no integer solution.

## Theorem

If $a \equiv 3,6(\bmod 9), a \equiv b(\bmod 9)$, and $c \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, then $a x^{2}+b y^{2}+c z^{2}=0$ has no integer solution.

## Theorem

If $a \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 4)$ and $a \equiv b \equiv c(\bmod 4)$, then $a x^{2}+b y^{2}+c z^{2}=0$ has no integer solutions.

## Theorem

If $a \equiv 2,6(\bmod 8), b+c \not \equiv 0(\bmod 8), a+b+c \not \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$, and $a$ and $b$ are odd, then $a x^{2}+b y^{2}+c z^{2}=0$ has no integer solutions.

## Theorem

Suppose $D \equiv 2,6(\bmod 8)$ and $d_{1}, d_{2} \not \equiv 0,4(\bmod 8)$ and there is a solution to the homogeneous space, then one of the following holds.

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{1} \equiv d_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 8) \\
d_{1} \equiv 3 D(\bmod 4) \text { and } d_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\
d_{2} \equiv D(\bmod 8) \\
d_{1} \equiv 3 D+1 \text { and } d_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 8)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Theorem

Suppose $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are odd, $D \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$, and the equations have a solution, then $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ is one of the following mod 8 :
$(1,1)$
$(5,1)$
$(3,5)$
$(7,5)$

## Computer Program

- A computer program was written in python that uses the elimination theorems to eliminate points from the image of $\delta_{E}$ to gain an upper bound on the size.
- We can use these upper bounds on the size of the image to form an upper bound on the rank of $X_{0}^{(D)}(24)$ for $D=m p$

The columns correspond to $m$ while the rows correspond to $p(\bmod 24)$.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -6 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | $2^{*}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

For $D=11$, the rank is 1 so we can find a non-torsion point $(64,720)$ on the elliptic curve which yields the arithmetic progression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=(181632-30240 \sqrt{11})^{2} \\
& n_{2}=(29568-61920 \sqrt{11})^{2} \\
& n_{3}=(29568+61920 \sqrt{11})^{2} \\
& n_{4}=(181632+30240 \sqrt{11})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $D=13$, the rank is 1 so we can find a non-torsion point $(-25,90)$ on the elliptic curve which yields the arithmetic progression:

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=(-975-4590 \sqrt{13})^{2} \\
n_{2}=(16575+90 \sqrt{13})^{2} \\
n_{3}=(16575-90 \sqrt{13})^{2} \\
n_{4}=(-975+4590 \sqrt{13})^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Cubes in Arithmetic Progressions

## Theorem

## Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{0}(36): y^{2}=x^{3}-27 \\
x_{0}^{(D)}(36): y^{2}=x^{3}-27 D^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then there exists a nonconstant / nontrivial progression of three cubes over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, if and only if rank $X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})>0$.

## An Arithmetic Progression to a Point on $X_{0}(36)$

Given a 3-term arithmetic progression of three cubes $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$, define a rational point ( $x: y: z$ ):

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=-6\left(\sqrt[3]{n_{1}}+\sqrt[3]{n_{2}}+\sqrt[3]{n_{3}}\right)\left(\sqrt[3]{n_{1}}-2 \sqrt[3]{n_{2}}+\sqrt[3]{n_{3}}\right) \\
\left.y=-27\left(\sqrt[3]{n_{1}}{ }^{2}-\sqrt[3]{n_{3}}\right)^{2}\right) \\
z=\left(\sqrt[3]{n_{1}}-2 \sqrt[3]{n_{2}}+\sqrt[3]{n_{3}}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

This point lies on the curve $y^{2} z=x^{3}-27 z^{3}$.

## Solutions

Rational Points on $y^{2} z=x^{3}-27 z^{3}$

| $\left(\sqrt[3]{n_{1}}: \sqrt[3]{n_{2}}: \sqrt[3]{n_{3}}\right)$ | $(x: y: z)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(+1:+1:+1)$ | $(0: 1: 0)$ |
| $(-1: 0:+1)$ | $(3: 0: 1)$ |

From this we can conclude that there are no nontrivial arithmetic progressions of three rational cubes over $\mathbb{Q}$. Additionally we observe that $X_{0}(36) \cong Z_{2}$ as an abelian group.

## A Point to an Arithmetic Progression

Given a nonzero rational number $D$, we say that $X_{0}^{(D)}(36): y^{2} z=x^{3}-27 D^{3} z^{3}$ has a nontrivial rational point $(x: y: z)$. We then have an arithmetic progression of three cubes $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ defined by the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=\left((x-3 D z)^{2}-\sqrt{D y z}\right)^{3} \\
& n_{2}=((x-3 D z)(x+6 D z))^{3}=\left((x-3 D z)^{2}+\sqrt{D y z}\right)^{3} \\
& n_{3}=((x-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

Consider the case when $D=2$, then the rational point $(x: y: z)=(10: 28: 1)$ is on the curve $X_{0}^{(D)}(36)$. In this case, we get the following progression:

$$
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)=\left((4-21 \sqrt{2})^{3}, 22^{3},(4+21 \sqrt{2})^{3}\right)
$$

## Lemma for Ranks

From Gonzalez-Jimenez's paper we conclude that $X_{0}(36)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))_{\text {tors }}$ and $X_{0}(36)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$ are equal, when $D \neq 3$.

## Summary

We conclude that points that we find for progressions of three cubes are not torsion points, and thus rank $X_{0}(36)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))>0$.

## Descent via Two Isogenies

Let $E$ denote an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$.

1) $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r}$
2) $E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(D)}$
3) $E(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})) \simeq E\left(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})_{\text {tors }} \times \mathbb{Z}^{R}, R=r+r(D)\right.$
$X_{0}(36)(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq Z_{2} \Rightarrow r=0$. Thus, $\operatorname{rank}\left(X_{0}(36)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))>0\right.$
if and only if rank $\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)>0$. Yet,
$X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}): y^{2}=x^{3}-27 D^{3}=(x-3 D)\left(x^{2}+D x+9 D^{2}\right)$, the set of the 2 -torsion points of $X_{0}(36)^{(D)}$ is not a subset of $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus complete 2-descent is not useful in this case; we have to use descent via two isogenies instead.

## Isogenies

However, for any quadratic twist $X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})$, there is a rational point $(x: y: z)=(-3 D: 0: 1)$ in $X_{0}(36)[2]$. Thus, we have the 2-isogeny $\phi: X_{0}(36)^{(D)} \rightarrow E^{(D)}$
$(x: y: z) \mapsto\left(\frac{x^{2}-3 D x z+27 D^{2} z^{2}}{x-3 D z}: \frac{x^{2}-6 D x z-18 D^{2} z^{2}}{(x-3 D z)^{2}} y: z\right)$
where $E^{(D)}: y^{2} z=x^{3}-135 D^{2} x z^{2}-594 D^{3} z^{3}$. We have that $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)=X_{0}^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$.

## Isogenies

We may construct
$\phi^{\prime}: E^{(D)} \rightarrow X_{0}(36)^{(D)}$
$(x: y: z) \mapsto\left(\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{x^{2}+6 D x z-27 D^{2} z^{2}}{x+6 D z}\right]: \frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{x^{2}+12 D x z+63 D^{2} z^{2}}{(x+6 D z)^{2}} y\right]: z\right)$
We have that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=\{P=(-6 D: 0: 1), \mathcal{O}\}$. By the property noted earlier, we have that $\phi \circ \phi^{\prime}=2 X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})$. Note that $P=(-6 D: 0: 1)$ has order two, so we see that $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)=X_{0}^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$.

## Computing The Rank

$X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq Z_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(D)} \Rightarrow \frac{X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})}{2 X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})} \simeq Z_{2}+\mathbb{Z}^{r(D)+1}$, where
$r(D)$ is the rank of $X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})$.
So, in order to compute $r(D)$, we need to count the cosets in
$\frac{X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})}{2 X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})}$.

## Counting Cosets in $\frac{x_{0}(36)(\mathbb{Q})}{}$ $2 X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { We have }\left|\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[\phi]}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]\right.}\right|\left|\frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{2 X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}\right|= \\
& \left|\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)} \| \frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi\left(E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)}\right|=|\operatorname{coker}(\phi)|\left|\operatorname{coker}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Counting Cosets in $2 X_{0}^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})$

Note that $\left|\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[\phi]}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]\right.}\right|=2$, since $\left|E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[\phi]\right|=2$ and $\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]\right)$ consists only of the point at infinity, so
$\left|\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[\phi]}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]\right.}\right|=\frac{2}{1}=2$. Thus,
$\left|\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[\phi]}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]\right.}\right|\left|\frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{2 X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}\right|=$
$\left|\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)}\right|\left|\frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi\left(E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)}\right| \Rightarrow$
$2\left|\frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{2 X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}\right|=\left|\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)}\right|\left|\frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi\left(E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)}\right| \Rightarrow$
$\left|\frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{2 X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{E^{(D)}(Q)}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)}\right|\left|\frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi\left(E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)}\right| \Rightarrow$
$\left|\frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{2 X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}\right|=\frac{|\operatorname{coker}(\phi)|\left|\operatorname{coker}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right|}{2}$.

## Computing Cokernels

To avoid working directly with $\operatorname{coker}(\phi)$ and $\operatorname{coker}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$, whose structure may be difficult to work with, we define the group homomorphism
$\delta: \frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right)^{2}}$
$(x, y) \mapsto x+6 D \bmod \left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right)^{2}$, if $x+6 D \neq 0$
$\mathcal{O} \mapsto 1 \bmod \left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right)^{2}$, if $x+6 D=0$
( $\delta$ maps the elements of $\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi\left(X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)}$ to their square-free parts) and we define the group homomorphism
$\delta^{\prime}: \frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi^{\prime}\left(E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right)^{2}}$
$(x, y) \mapsto x-3 D \bmod \left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right)^{2}$
( $\delta^{\prime}$ maps the elements of $\frac{X_{0}(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi^{\prime}\left(E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})\right)}$ to their square-free parts.)

## Computing Cokernels

Both $\delta: \operatorname{coker}(\phi) \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right)^{2}}$ and
$\delta^{\prime}: \operatorname{coker}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right)^{2}}$ are injective group homomorphisms
$2^{(r+1)}=\frac{|\operatorname{lm}(\delta)|\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right|}{2}$.
Let $S=\left\{\mathrm{k} \mid \mathrm{k}\right.$ prime, $\left.\mathrm{k} \mid 27 D^{2}\right\}$. Notice that $\operatorname{Im}(\delta)$ is a subset of $\mathbb{Q}(S, 2)$. Hence, $|\operatorname{lm}(\delta)| \leq|\mathbb{Q}(S, 2)|$ Likewise, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$ is a subset of $\mathbb{Q}(S, 2)$. Hence, $\left|\operatorname{lm}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq|\mathbb{Q}(S, 2)|$.

## Homogeneous Space

To compute $|\operatorname{Im}(\delta)|$, we may consider values of $d$ in $\frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right)^{2}}$ such that the equation $D_{d}: v^{2}=d-18 D u^{2}-\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$ has a rational solution $(u, v)$.
To compute $\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right|$, we may consider values of $d^{\prime}$ in $\frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right)^{2}}$ such that the equation
$\left.D_{d^{\prime}}: w^{2}=d^{\prime}+9 D z^{2}+\frac{27 D^{2}}{d^{\prime}}\right) z^{4}$
has a rational solution $(w, z)$.

## Motivation

- There is a motivation for eliminating points from the image of $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ for a given $D=m p$.
- If we can eliminate points from the image, we can find an upper bound on the size of the image, and thus an upper bound on the rank.


## Eliminating Points from $\operatorname{Im}(\delta)$

In order to show a point $d$ is not in the image $\delta$, it will suffice to show that there are no rational solutions to:

$$
v^{\prime 2}=d-18 D u^{\prime 2}-\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{\prime 4}
$$

If we let $v^{\prime}=\frac{v}{z}$ and $u^{\prime}=\frac{u}{z}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v, z)=1$, and multiply through by $z^{4}$, we get:

$$
v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}-18 D u^{2} z^{2}-\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}
$$

It will suffice to show there are no integer solutions to this equation to eliminate a point from the image.

## Eliminating Points from $\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$

In order to show a point $d$ is not in the image of $\delta^{\prime}$, it will suffice to show that there are no rational solutions to:

$$
v^{2}=d+9 D u^{2}+\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}
$$

Let $v^{\prime}=\frac{v}{z}$ and $u^{\prime}=\frac{u}{z}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v, z)=1$, and multiply through by $z^{4}$.

$$
v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}-18 D u^{2} z^{2}-\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}
$$

It will suffice to show there are no integer solutions to this equation to eliminate a point from the image.

## Structures of the Images

- We know that 1 and -3 are in the image of $\delta$ and that 1 and 3 are in the image of $\delta^{\prime}$
- Since the images are multiplicative groups, if we know a point $x$ is not in the image, the product of $x$ and a point from the image is not in the image.


## Checking for Real Solutions

If there are no real solutions to the equations. Then there will be no rational solutions.

## Theorem

If $d<0$ then there is no rational solution to $v^{2}=d+9 D u^{2}+\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$

- Let us denote the Legendre symbol as ( $\frac{a}{b}$ )
- Let $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)=1$ if there exists an integer $x$ such that $x^{2} \equiv a(\bmod b)$
- Otherwise, let $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)=-1$


## Checking for solutions Modulo $p$

## Theorem

Let $D=m p$ and suppose $p \mid d$. If $(f r a c 3 p)=-1$ then there are no solutions to $v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}-18 D u^{2} z^{2}-\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$

## Theorem

Let $D=m p$ and suppose $p \mid d$. If $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=-1$ then there are no solutions to $v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}+9 D u^{2} z^{2}+\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$

## Theorem

Let $D=m p$ and suppose $p \nmid d$. If $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1$ and $\left(\frac{-3 d}{p}\right)=-1$ then there are no solutions to $v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}-18 D u^{2} z^{2}-\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$

## Checking for Solutions Modulo $p$

## Theorem

Suppose $3 \nmid d$ and $3 \mid D$. If $d \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ then there is no integer solution to either $v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}-18 D u^{2} z^{2}-\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$ or $v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}+9 D u^{2} z^{2}+\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$.

## Checking for solutions Modulo 3

## Theorem

Suppose $3 \nmid d$ and $3 \nmid D$. If $d \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ then there is no integer solution to either $v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}-18 D u^{2} z^{2}-\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$ or $v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}+9 D u^{2} z^{2}+\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$.

## Checking for solutions Modulo 8

## Theorem

Suppose $2 \mid d$ which implies $2 \mid D$. Let $d=2 \bar{d}$ and $D=2 \bar{D}$. Then $v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}-18 D u^{2} z^{2}-\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$ has a solution only if $\bar{d} z^{4}-18 \bar{D}-\frac{27 \bar{D}^{2}}{\bar{d}} \equiv 0$ or $2(\bmod 8)$.

## Theorem

Suppose $2 \mid d$ which implies $2 \mid D$. Let $d=2 \bar{d}$ and $D=2 \bar{D}$. Then $v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}+9 D u^{2} z^{2}+\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$ has a solution only if
$\bar{d} z^{4}+9 \bar{D}+\frac{27 \bar{D}^{2}}{\bar{d}} \equiv 0$ or $2(\bmod 8)$.

## Checking for solutions Modulo 8

## Theorem

Suppose $2 \nmid d$ but $2 \mid D$. Let $D=2 \bar{D}$. Then
$v^{2} z^{2}=d z^{4}+9 D u^{2} z^{2}+\frac{27 D^{2}}{d} u^{4}$ has a solution only if one of the following holds.

$$
\begin{gathered}
d+2 * 9 \bar{D}+4 * \frac{27 \bar{D}^{2}}{d} \equiv 1(\bmod 8) \\
d \equiv 1(\bmod 8) \\
4 * d+2 * 9 \bar{D}+\frac{27 \bar{D}^{2}}{d} \equiv 1(\bmod 8) \\
\frac{27 \bar{D}^{2}}{d} \equiv 1(\bmod 8)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Computer Program

- A computer program was written in python that uses the elimination theorems to eliminate points from the image of $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ to gain an upper bound on the size.
- We can use these upper bounds on the size of the images to form an upper bound on the rank of $X_{0}^{(D)}(36)$ for $D=m p$

The columns correspond to $m$ while the rows correspond to $p(\bmod 24)$.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -6 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

## Examples of Arithmetic Progressions of Cubes

For $D=7$ and $D=11$, the rank is 1 and thus we can find a points on the elliptic curves.
For $D=7$, we can find the non-torsion point $\left(\frac{1785}{4}, \frac{75411}{8}\right)$ on the elliptic curve which yields the arithmetic progression:

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=(11573604-1809864 \sqrt{7})^{3} \\
n_{2}=(13288212)^{3} \\
n_{3}=(11573604+1809864 \sqrt{7})^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

For $D=11$, we can find the non-torsion point $\left(\frac{55977}{1369}, \frac{9121140}{50653}\right)$ on the elliptic curve which yields the arithmetic progression:

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=(159680160000-1386039313260 \sqrt{11})^{3} \\
n_{2}=(2163533101200)^{3} \\
n_{3}=(159680160000+1386039313260 \sqrt{11})
\end{gathered}
$$
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