# Arithmetic Progressions of Squares and Cubes over Quadratic Fields

# Stephen Mussmann, Ronald Archer, Benny Martinez, Lirong Yuan, Han Liu

Department of Mathematics Purdue University

July 31, 2012

Mussmann, Archer, Martinez, Yuan, Liu Squares and Cubes in Progressions

## Abstract

- In 1640, Pierre de Fermat sent a letter to Bernard Frenicle de Bessy claiming that there are no four or more rational squares in a nontrivial arithmetic progression.
- Each 4-term arithmetic progression of perfect squares corresponds to a rational point (x : y : z) on the elliptical curve

$$E: y^2 z = x^3 + 5x^2 z + 4xz^2$$

and one shows that  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq Z_2 \times Z_4$  consists of finitely many rational points.

## Abstract

- Similar arithmetic progressions have also been studied. There are only finitely many 3-term arithmetic progressions whose terms are perfect cubes: {-1, 0 1} for example.
- Each 3-term arithmetic progressions of perfect cubes corresponds to a rational point (x : y : z) on the elliptic curve

$$E: y^2 z = x^3 - 27z^3$$

and one shows that  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq Z_2$  consist of finitely many rational points

## Intro

- An m-term arithmetic progression is a collection of ration numbers  $n_1, n_2, .., n_m$  such that there is a common difference  $d = n_{i+1} n_i$ .
- Examples of non-constant 3 term arithmetic progressions are  $\{-1,\ 0\ ,1\}$  and  $\{1,\ 25,\ 49\},$  where the common differences are d = 1 and d = 24, respectively.
- The latter example fits into a large family. There are infinitely many 3-term arithmetic progressions whose terms are perfect squares: consider for example the set

$$\{(x^2 - 2xz - z^2)^2, (x^2 + z^2)^2, (x^2 + 2xz - z^2)^2\}$$

for any rational numbers x and z.

#### Intro

- Both of these results can be generalized by working with larger fields. A 2009 paper in the ArXiv by Enrique Gonzalez-Jimenez and Jorn Steuding entitles "Arithmetic progressions of four squares over quadratic fields" discussed a slight generalization by looking at four squares in an arithmetic progression over quadratic extensions of the rational numbers.
- For example, one can use these results to construct the arithmetic progression

$$\{(9-5\sqrt{6})^2, (15-\sqrt{6})^2, (15+\sqrt{6})^2, (9+5\sqrt{6})^2\}$$

### Intro

- Similarly, a 2010 paper by Enrique Gonzalez-Jimenez entitled "Three cubes in arithmetic progression over quadratic fields" discussed a slight generalization by looking at three cubes in an arithmetic progression over the same quadratic extensions.
- As an example, one can use these results to construct the arithmetic progression.

$$\{(4-21\sqrt{2})^3,22^3,(4+21\sqrt{2})^3\}$$

### Summary

In this project, we seek to give explicit examples of four squares in arithmetic progressions as well as three cubes in arithmetic progression, and recast many ideas by performing a complete 2-descent of quadratic twists of certain elliptic curves. This will extend a 2010 paper Alexander Diaz, Zachary Flores, and Markus Vasquez entitled "Arithmetic Progression over Quadratic Fields"

## Proposition

Consider the curve

$$E: y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6$$

Using a substitution, we find a curve in the form  $Y^2 = X^3 + AX + B$ . This is a nonsingular curve if and only if  $4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0$ .

A nonsingular curve as in the proposition above is called an elliptic curve. They can be defined over any field K. K-rational points are points on the curve whose coordinates belong to K.

## Remark

Elliptic curves are helpful because we can use it to generate solutions of diophantine equations, pythagorean triplets, heron triangles, and so on.

★ Ξ ► ★ Ξ ►

- The idea behind considering non singular curves is we can draw lines

   including tangent lines to generate several points from a few known ones.
- If P and Q are K-rational points on an elliptic curve E, draw a line through them. If P=Q, then draw the line tangent to the curve at P; this line is well-defined because the gradient exists at all points on E.
- This line will intersect the curve as a third K-rational point, say P\*Q. This process is known as the chord-tangent method.

< ∃ →

Denote K as either  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ , or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Consider the elliptic curve

$$E: y^2 + a_1 x y + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6$$

where  $a_i \in K$ . Let \* denote the composition law which takes two K-rational points P and Q and computes the point of intersection P\*Q of the projective curve E and the line through P and Q. Define the composition law  $P \oplus Q = (P * Q) * O$ . This turns  $(E(K), \oplus)$  into an abelian group.

# Theorem (L.J.Mordell, 1922)

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is a finitely generated abelian group. In particular,

 $E(\mathbb{Q})\simeq E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} imes \mathbb{Z}^r$ 

for some nonnegative integer r.

- ∢ ⊒ ▶

#### Denote

$$X_0(24): y^2 = x^3 + 5x^2 + 4x$$
$$X_0^{(D)}(24): y^2 = x^3 + 5Dx^2 + 4D^2x$$

Then there exists a nonconstant / nontrivial progression of four squares over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , if and only if rank  $X_0^{(D)}(24)(\mathbb{Q}) > 0$ .

(Ξ) + (Ξ) +

Given a 4-term arithmetic progression of four squares  $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)$ , let a rational point (x : y : z) satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 2(\sqrt{n_1} - 3\sqrt{n_2} - 3\sqrt{n_3} + \sqrt{n_4}) \\ y &= 6(\sqrt{n_1} - \sqrt{n_2} + \sqrt{n_3} - \sqrt{n_4}) \\ z &= \sqrt{n_1} + 3\sqrt{n_2} + 3\sqrt{n_3} + \sqrt{n_4} \end{aligned}$$

This constitutes a point on the curve  $y^2 z = x^3 + 5x^2z + 4xz^2$ 

∢ ≣ ≯

# Solutions

Rational Points on $y^2 z = x^3 + 5x^2 z + 4xz^2$					
$\left[ \left( \sqrt{n_1} : \sqrt{n_2} : \sqrt{n_3} : \sqrt{n_4} \right) \right]$	(x:y:z)				
(-1:-1:+1:+1)	(0:1:0)				
(-1:+1:-1:+1)	(0:0:1)				
(-1:-1:-1:+1)	(-2:+2:1)				
(-1:+1:+1:+1)	(-2:-2:1)				
(+1:+1:+1:+1)	(-1:0:1)				
(+1:-1:-1:+1)	(-4:0:1)				
(+1:+1:-1:+1)	(2:+6:1)				
(+1:-1:+1:+1)	(2:-6:1)				

From this we can conclude that there are no nontrivial arithmetic progressions of four rational squares over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Additionally we observe that  $X_0(24) \cong Z_2 \times Z_4$  as an abelian group.

< ∃ >

Given a nonzero rational number D, we say that  $X_0^{(D)}(24) : y^2 z = x^3 + 5Dx^2z + 4D^2xz^2$  has a nontrivial rational point (x : y : z). We then have an arithmetic progression of four-squares  $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  given by the following:

$$n_1 = (3Dx(x+2Dz) + \sqrt{D}y(x-2Dz))^2$$
  

$$n_2 = (Dx(x-2Dz) + \sqrt{D}y(x+2Dz))^2$$
  

$$n_3 = (Dx(x-2Dz) - \sqrt{D}y(x+2Dz))^2$$
  

$$n_4 = (3Dx(x+2Dz) - \sqrt{D}y(x-2Dz))^2$$

Consider the case when D = 6, then the rational point (x : y : z) = (-8 : -16 : 1) is on the curve  $X_0^{(D)}(24)$ . Using this case, we get the following progression

$$(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) = ((9 - 5\sqrt{6})^2, (15 - \sqrt{6})^2, (15 + \sqrt{6})^2, (9 + 5\sqrt{6})^2)$$

프 에 제 프 에 다

We have found that the 2-torsion subgroup of  $X_0(24)$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . From Kwon's (as cited by Gonzalez-Jimenez, Steuding) results we conclude that  $X_0(24)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))_{tors}$  and  $X_0(24)(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$  are equal. We can conclude that if there exists a non-constant / nontrivial progression of four-squares over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , then there are infinitely many arithmetic progressions of four-squares. An equivalent statement would be: if there exists a non-constant / nontrivial progression of four-squares over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , then rank  $X_0(24)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) > 0.$ 

(L. J. Mordell, 1922). Let E be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is a finitely generated Abelian group. In particular,

 $E(\mathbb{Q})\simeq E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} imes (\mathbb{Z})^r$ 

for some nonnegative integer r.

< ∃ >

(B. Mazur, 1978). Let E be a rational elliptic curve, and let  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$  denote its torsion subgroup. This finite group can only be one of fifteen types:

$$E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} \simeq egin{cases} Z_N, & ext{for } N=1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4,\ 5,\ 6,\ 7,\ 8,\ 9,\ 10,\ 12;\ Z_2 imes Z_{2N} & ext{for } N=1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4. \end{cases}$$

In the case of arithmetic progressions of four squares, the torsion subgroup of the related elliptic curve  $X_0^D(24)$  is always  $Z_2 \times Z_4$ .

Let E be an elliptic curve over K, with all four points of order 2 being K-rational i.e.,

$$E: Y^2 = (X - e_1)(X - e_2)(X - e_3)$$

where  $e_i \in K$ . We define the map

$$e_2: \frac{E(K)}{2E(K)} \times E[2] \to \frac{K^{\times}}{(K^{\times})^2}, (P, T) \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T = \mathcal{O} \\ X - e & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$

where P = (X : Y : 1) and T = (e : 0 : 1). This map is sometimes called the Tate pairing.

< ∃ >

(The Tate Pairing). Tate pairing is a perfect pairing, as it is (1) Non-degenerate: If  $e_2(P, T) = 1$  for all  $T \in E[2]$  then  $P \in 2E(K)$ . (2) Bilinear: for all  $P, Q \in E(K)$  and  $T \in E[2]$  we have

$$e_2(P\oplus Q, T)=e_2(P, T)\times e_2(Q, T),$$

 $e_2(P, T_1 \oplus T_2) = e_2(P, T_1) \times e_2(P, T_2).$ 

- ∢ ⊒ →

(Complete 2-Descent). Let E be an elliptic curve over K with  $E[2] \subseteq E(K)$  i.e.,

$$E: Y^2 = (X - e_1)(X - e_2)(X - e_3)$$

where  $e_i \in K$ . 1. Let  $\delta_E : \frac{E(K)}{2E(K)} \to \frac{(K^*)}{(K^*)^2} \times \frac{(K^{\times})}{(K^{\times})^2}$ ,  $P \mapsto (e_2(P, T_1), e_2(P, T_2))$ ; where  $e_2$ is the Tate pairing and  $T_i = (e_i : 0 : 1) \in E[2]$ . This is an injective group homomorphism. Furthermore the image of  $\delta_i$  lies in the finitely generated Abelian group supported by the set  $\mathbb{Q}(C, 2)$ , which is the collection of all support for

generated by the set  $\mathbb{Q}(S_i, 2)$ , which is the collection of all square-free divisors of  $S_i$ .

2. For each  $d = (d_1, d_2) \in K^{\times} \times K^{\times}$ , consider the projective curve

$$C_d: d_1u^2 - d_2v^2 = (e_2 - e_1), \ d_1u^2 - d_zd_2w^2 = (e_3 - e_1)$$

If  $d \equiv \delta_E(P)$  for some  $P \in E(K)$  then there is an K-rational point (u, v, w) on  $C_d$ . Conversely, the map  $\psi : C_d \to E$  defined by

$$(z_1: z_2: z_3: z_4) \mapsto (d_1 z_1^2 z_0 + e_1 z_0^3: d_1 d_2 z_1 z_2 z_3: z_0^3)$$

sends a point  $Z \in C_d(K)$  to a point  $\psi(Z) \in E(K)$ , and

 $\delta_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(Z)) = (d_1(\mod(K^{\times})^2), d_2(\mod(K^{\times})^2)).$ 

< 臣 > ( 臣 > )

As  $E(\mathbb{Q}) = E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} \times Z^r \cong Z_2 \times Z_{2N} \times Z^r$ , we have  $2E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong Z_N \times (Z_2)^r$ , thus  $\frac{E(\mathbb{Z})}{2E(\mathbb{Z})} \cong (Z_2)^{r+2}$ . We compute the image of the connecting homomorphism  $\delta_E$ ; there will be  $2^{r+2}$  elements, where r is the rank of E. There is a motivation for eliminating points  $(d_1, d_2)$  from the image of  $\delta_E$  for a given D = mp. We will eliminate points by showing that the equations:

$$d_1 u'^2 - d_2 v'^2 = -D$$
$$d_2 v'^2 - d_1 d_2 w'^2 = -3D$$

do not have a rational solution (u', v', w')

ヨト・モート

We can write  $u' = \frac{u}{z}$ ,  $v' = \frac{v}{z}$ , and  $w' = \frac{w}{z}$  such that gcd(u, v, w, z) = 1and  $z \neq 0$ . Using these substitutions and multiplying through by  $z^2$  we get:

$$d_1 u^2 - d_2 v^2 = -Dz^2$$
$$d_2 v^2 - d_1 d_2 w^2 = -3Dz^2$$

So if these equations don't have an integer solution (u, v, w, z), we can eliminate  $(d_1, d_2)$  from the image.

글 🖌 🖌 글 🕨

- We know that the image of  $\delta_E$  is a multiplicative group.
- We know that the points (1,1), (1,D), (-D,-3), and (-D,-3D) are in the image of  $\delta_E$ .
- Thus, if we know a point x is not in the image of δ<sub>E</sub>, we can conclude that the product of x and any point in the image of δ<sub>E</sub> is not in the image of δ<sub>E</sub>.

• Notice equation 1 and equation 2 can easily be rearranged to the form:

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$$

Where x, y, and z are integers such that gcd(x, y, z) = 1.

- Also notice that *a*, *b*, and *c* are symmetric in this equation.
- Theorems have been formulated for conditions on *a*, *b*, and *c* that yield no integer solutions to the equation.

If a, b, and c have the same sign and are all non-zero, there are no solutions to  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ .

## Theorem

If 
$$a \neq 0 \pmod{3}$$
,  $a \equiv b \pmod{3}$ , and  $c \equiv 3, 6 \pmod{9}$ , then  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  has no integer solution.

If  $a \equiv 3, 6 \pmod{9}$ ,  $a \equiv b \pmod{9}$ , and  $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  has no integer solution.

#### Theorem

If  $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $a \equiv b \equiv c \pmod{4}$ , then  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  has no integer solutions.

#### Theorem

If  $a \equiv 2, 6 \pmod{8}$ ,  $b + c \neq 0 \pmod{8}$ ,  $a + b + c \neq 0 \pmod{8}$ , and a and b are odd, then  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  has no integer solutions.

< 注 > < 注 >

Suppose  $D \equiv 2,6 \pmod{8}$  and  $d_1, d_2 \not\equiv 0,4 \pmod{8}$  and there is a solution to the homogeneous space, then one of the following holds.

$$d_1 \equiv d_2 \equiv 1 (mod8)$$
  
 $d_1 \equiv 3D (mod4)$  and  $d_2 \equiv 1 (mod4)$   
 $d_2 \equiv D (mod8)$   
 $d_1 \equiv 3D + 1$  and  $d_2 \equiv 1 (mod8)$ 

Suppose  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are odd,  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and the equations have a solution, then  $(d_1, d_2)$  is one of the following mod 8:

(1, 1)(5, 1)(3, 5)(7, 5)

- A computer program was written in python that uses the elimination theorems to eliminate points from the image of  $\delta_E$  to gain an upper bound on the size.
- We can use these upper bounds on the size of the image to form an upper bound on the rank of  $X_0^{(D)}(24)$  for D = mp

The columns correspond to m while the rows correspond to p(mod24).

	1	2	3	6	-1	-2	-3	-6
1	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2
5	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
7	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	0
11	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
13	1	0	2	1	2*	0	1	0
17	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
19	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	2
23	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2

臣▶ ★ 臣▶ -

э

# Examples of Arithmetic Progressions of Squares

For D = 11, the rank is 1 so we can find a non-torsion point (64, 720) on the elliptic curve which yields the arithmetic progression:

$$n_1 = (181632 - 30240\sqrt{11})^2$$
$$n_2 = (29568 - 61920\sqrt{11})^2$$
$$n_3 = (29568 + 61920\sqrt{11})^2$$
$$n_4 = (181632 + 30240\sqrt{11})^2$$

For D = 13, the rank is 1 so we can find a non-torsion point (-25, 90) on the elliptic curve which yields the arithmetic progression:

$$n_1 = (-975 - 4590\sqrt{13})^2$$
$$n_2 = (16575 + 90\sqrt{13})^2$$
$$n_3 = (16575 - 90\sqrt{13})^2$$
$$n_4 = (-975 + 4590\sqrt{13})^2$$

#### Denote

$$X_0(36): y^2 = x^3 - 27$$
  
 $X_0^{(D)}(36): y^2 = x^3 - 27D^3$ 

Then there exists a nonconstant / nontrivial progression of three cubes over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , if and only if rank  $X_0^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q}) > 0$ .

Given a 3-term arithmetic progression of three cubes  $(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ , define a rational point (x : y : z):

$$\begin{aligned} x &= -6(\sqrt[3]{n_1} + \sqrt[3]{n_2} + \sqrt[3]{n_3})(\sqrt[3]{n_1} - 2\sqrt[3]{n_2} + \sqrt[3]{n_3}) \\ y &= -27(\sqrt[3]{n_1}^2 - \sqrt[3]{n_3})^2) \\ z &= (\sqrt[3]{n_1} - 2\sqrt[3]{n_2} + \sqrt[3]{n_3})^2 \end{aligned}$$

This point lies on the curve  $y^2 z = x^3 - 27z^3$ .

프 🖌 🔺 프 🛌

Rational Points on $y^2 z = x^3 - 27z^3$					
$\left(\sqrt[3]{n_1}:\sqrt[3]{n_2}:\sqrt[3]{n_3}\right)$	(x:y:z)				
(+1:+1:+1)	(0:1:0)				
(-1:0:+1)	(3:0:1)				

From this we can conclude that there are no nontrivial arithmetic progressions of three rational cubes over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Additionally we observe that  $X_0(36) \cong Z_2$  as an abelian group.

< ∃ →

3

Given a nonzero rational number D, we say that  $X_0^{(D)}(36): y^2 z = x^3 - 27D^3 z^3$  has a nontrivial rational point (x:y:z). We then have an arithmetic progression of three cubes  $(n_1, n_2, n_3)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  defined by the following:

$$n_1 = ((x - 3Dz)^2 - \sqrt{D}yz)^3$$
  

$$n_2 = ((x - 3Dz)(x + 6Dz))^3$$
  

$$n_3 = ((x - 3Dz)^2 + \sqrt{D}yz)^3$$

Consider the case when D = 2, then the rational point (x : y : z) = (10 : 28 : 1) is on the curve  $X_0^{(D)}(36)$ . In this case, we get the following progression:

$$(n_1, n_2, n_3) = ((4 - 21\sqrt{2})^3, 22^3, (4 + 21\sqrt{2})^3)$$

- ∢ ≣ ▶

From Gonzalez-Jimenez's paper we conclude that  $X_0(36)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))_{tors}$ and  $X_0(36)(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$  are equal, when  $D \neq 3$ .

(《 문 》 문

We conclude that points that we find for progressions of three cubes are not torsion points, and thus  $\operatorname{rank} X_0(36)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})) > 0$ .

∃ >

Let *E* denote an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . 1)  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ 2)  $E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(D)}$ 3)  $E(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})) \simeq E(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})_{tors} \times \mathbb{Z}^R, R = r + r(D)$   $X_0(36)(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq Z_2 \Rightarrow r = 0$ . Thus, rank $(X_0(36)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})) > 0$ if and only if rank  $(X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})) > 0$ . Yet,  $X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}) : y^2 = x^3 - 27D^3 = (x - 3D)(x^2 + Dx + 9D^2)$ , the set of the 2-torsion points of  $X_0(36)^{(D)}$  is not a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus complete 2-descent is not useful in this case; we have to use descent via two isogenies instead. However, for any quadratic twist  $X_0^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})$ , there is a rational point (x:y:z) = (-3D:0:1) in  $X_0(36)[2]$ . Thus, we have the 2-isogeny  $\phi: X_0(36)^{(D)} \to E^{(D)}$  $(x:y:z) \mapsto (\frac{x^2 - 3Dxz + 27D^2z^2}{x - 3Dz}: \frac{x^2 - 6Dxz - 18D^2z^2}{(x - 3Dz)^2}y:z)$  where  $E^{(D)}: y^2z = x^3 - 135D^2xz^2 - 594D^3z^3$ . We have that  $\ker(\phi) = X_0^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$ .

- < ≣ ▶

We may construct  $\phi': E^{(D)} \to X_0(36)^{(D)}$   $(x:y:z) \mapsto (\frac{1}{4}[\frac{x^2 + 6Dxz - 27D^2z^2}{x + 6Dz}]: \frac{1}{8}[\frac{x^2 + 12Dxz + 63D^2z^2}{(x + 6Dz)^2}y]:z)$ We have that  $\ker(\phi') = \{P = (-6D:0:1), \mathcal{O}\}$ . By the property noted earlier, we have that  $\phi \circ \phi' = 2X_0^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})$ . Note that P = (-6D:0:1) has order two, so we see that  $\ker(\phi) = X_0^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ and  $\ker(\phi') = E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ .

$$\begin{split} X_0^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q}) &\simeq Z_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(D)} \Rightarrow \frac{X_0^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})}{2X_0^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})} \simeq Z_2 + \mathbb{Z}^{r(D)+1}, \text{ where} \\ r(D) \text{ is the rank of } X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}). \\ \text{So, in order to compute } r(D), \text{ we need to count the cosets in} \\ \frac{X_0^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})}{2X_0^{(D)}(36)(\mathbb{Q})}. \end{split}$$

(注) → (注) →

æ



We have 
$$|\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[\phi]}{\phi(X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]}||\frac{X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{2X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}| = |\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi(X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}))}||\frac{X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi(E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}))}| = |\operatorname{coker}(\phi)||\operatorname{coker}(\phi')|.$$

ㅋ ㅋ

# Counting Cosets in

(36



To avoid working directly with  $coker(\phi)$  and  $coker(\phi')$ , whose structure may be difficult to work with, we define the group homomorphism  $\delta: \frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi(X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}))} \to \frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{(\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^2}$  $(x, y) \mapsto x + 6D \mod (\mathbb{O}^{\times})^2$ , if  $x + 6D \neq 0$  $\mathcal{O} \mapsto 1 \mod (\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^2$ , if x + 6D = 0( $\delta$  maps the elements of  $\frac{E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi(X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}))}$  to their square-free parts) and we define the group homomorphism  $\delta': \frac{X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi'(E^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}))} \to \frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{(\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^2}$  $(x, y) \mapsto x - 3D \mod (\mathbb{O}^{\times})^2$ ( $\delta$ ' maps the elements of  $\frac{X_0(36)^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi'(F^{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}))}$  to their square-free parts.)

프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

# Computing Cokernels

Both 
$$\delta$$
 : coker $(\phi) \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{(\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^2}$  and  
 $\delta'$  : coker $(\phi') \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{(\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^2}$  are injective group homomorphisms  
 $2^{(r+1)} = \frac{|\mathrm{Im}(\delta)| |\mathrm{Im}(\delta')|}{2}$ .  
Let  $S = \{k | k \text{ prime, } k | 27D^2 \}$ . Notice that  $\mathrm{Im}(\delta)$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}(S, 2)$ .  
Hence,  $|\mathrm{Im}(\delta)| \leq |\mathbb{Q}(S, 2)|$  Likewise,  $\mathrm{Im}(\delta')$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}(S, 2)$ . Hence,  
 $|\mathrm{Im}(\delta')| \leq |\mathbb{Q}(S, 2)|$ .

프 + + 프 +

æ

To compute  $|\text{Im}(\delta)|$ , we may consider values of d in  $\frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{(\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^2}$  such that the equation  $D_d: v^2 = d - 18Du^2 - \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$  has a rational solution (u, v). To compute  $|\text{Im}(\delta')|$ , we may consider values of d' in  $\frac{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}{(\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^2}$  such that the equation

$$D_{d'}: w^2 = d' + 9Dz^2 + \frac{27D}{d'}z^4$$
  
has a rational solution  $(w, z)$ .

< 臣 > < 臣 > □

- There is a motivation for eliminating points from the image of  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  for a given D = mp.
- If we can eliminate points from the image, we can find an upper bound on the size of the image, and thus an upper bound on the rank.

- ⊒ - ▶

In order to show a point d is not in the image  $\delta$ , it will suffice to show that there are no rational solutions to:

$$v'^2 = d - 18Du'^2 - \frac{27D^2}{d}u'^4$$

If we let  $v' = \frac{v}{z}$  and  $u' = \frac{u}{z}$  such that gcd(u, v, z) = 1, and multiply through by  $z^4$ , we get:

$$v^2 z^2 = dz^4 - 18Du^2 z^2 - \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$$

It will suffice to show there are no integer solutions to this equation to eliminate a point from the image.

< ≣ >

In order to show a point d is not in the image of  $\delta'$ , it will suffice to show that there are no rational solutions to:

$$v^2 = d + 9Du^2 + \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$$

Let  $v' = \frac{v}{z}$  and  $u' = \frac{u}{z}$  such that gcd(u, v, z) = 1, and multiply through by  $z^4$ .

$$v^2 z^2 = dz^4 - 18Du^2 z^2 - \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$$

It will suffice to show there are no integer solutions to this equation to eliminate a point from the image.

프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

- We know that 1 and -3 are in the image of  $\delta$  and that 1 and 3 are in the image of  $\delta'$
- Since the images are multiplicative groups, if we know a point x is not in the image, the product of x and a point from the image is not in the image.

If there are no real solutions to the equations. Then there will be no rational solutions.

#### Theorem

If d < 0 then there is no rational solution to  $v^2 = d + 9Du^2 + \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$ 

< ∃ →

- Let us denote the Legendre symbol as  $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$
- Let  $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = 1$  if there exists an integer x such that  $x^2 \equiv a(modb)$
- Otherwise, let  $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = -1$

-∢ ≣ ▶

Let 
$$D = mp$$
 and suppose  $p \mid d$ . If  $(frac3p) = -1$  then there are no  
solutions to  $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 - 18Du^2 z^2 - \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$ 

# Theorem

Let 
$$D = mp$$
 and suppose  $p \mid d$ . If  $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) = -1$  then there are no solutions  
to  $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 + 9Du^2 z^2 + \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$ 

# Theorem

Let 
$$D = mp$$
 and suppose  $p \nmid d$ . If  $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$  and  $\left(\frac{-3d}{p}\right) = -1$  then there are no solutions to  $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 - 18Du^2 z^2 - \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$ 

医下 不正下

э

Suppose  $3 \nmid d$  and  $3 \mid D$ . If  $d \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$  then there is no integer solution to either  $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 - 18Du^2 z^2 - \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$  or  $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 + 9Du^2 z^2 + \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$ .

Suppose  $3 \nmid d$  and  $3 \nmid D$ . If  $d \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$  then there is no integer solution to either  $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 - 18Du^2 z^2 - \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$  or  $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 + 9Du^2 z^2 + \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$ .

Suppose 2 | d which implies 2 | D. Let 
$$d = 2\bar{d}$$
 and  $D = 2\bar{D}$ . Then  
 $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 - 18Du^2 z^2 - \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$  has a solution only if  
 $\bar{d}z^4 - 18\bar{D} - \frac{27\bar{D}^2}{\bar{d}} \equiv 0$  or 2 (mod 8).

# Theorem

Suppose 2 | d which implies 2 | D. Let 
$$d = 2\bar{d}$$
 and  $D = 2\bar{D}$ . Then  
 $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 + 9Du^2 z^2 + \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$  has a solution only if  
 $\bar{d}z^4 + 9\bar{D} + \frac{27\bar{D}^2}{\bar{d}} \equiv 0$  or 2 (mod 8).

E > < E >

э

Suppose  $2 \nmid d$  but  $2 \mid D$ . Let  $D = 2\overline{D}$ . Then  $v^2 z^2 = dz^4 + 9Du^2 z^2 + \frac{27D^2}{d}u^4$  has a solution only if one of the following holds.

$$d + 2 * 9\overline{D} + 4 * \frac{27\overline{D}^2}{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$
$$d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$
$$4 * d + 2 * 9\overline{D} + \frac{27\overline{D}^2}{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$
$$\frac{27\overline{D}^2}{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$

- A computer program was written in python that uses the elimination theorems to eliminate points from the image of  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  to gain an upper bound on the size.
- We can use these upper bounds on the size of the images to form an upper bound on the rank of  $X_0^{(D)}(36)$  for D = mp

The columns correspond to m while the rows correspond to p(mod24).

	1	2	3	6	-1	-2	-3	-6
1	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	3
5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
11	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
13	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1
17	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
19	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
23	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1

臣▶ ★ 臣▶ -

э

# Examples of Arithmetic Progressions of Cubes

For D = 7 and D = 11, the rank is 1 and thus we can find a points on the elliptic curves.

For D = 7, we can find the non-torsion point  $(\frac{1785}{4}, \frac{75411}{8})$  on the elliptic curve which yields the arithmetic progression:

$$n_1 = (11573604 - 1809864\sqrt{7})^3$$
  
 $n_2 = (13288212)^3$   
 $n_3 = (11573604 + 1809864\sqrt{7})^3$ 

For D = 11, we can find the non-torsion point  $\left(\frac{55977}{1369}, \frac{9121140}{50653}\right)$  on the elliptic curve which yields the arithmetic progression:

$$n_1 = (159680160000 - 1386039313260\sqrt{11})^3$$
$$n_2 = (2163533101200)^3$$
$$n_3 = (159680160000 + 1386039313260\sqrt{11})$$

# Dr. Edray Goins

# James Weigant National Science Foundation

# Thank You for Your Attention

# Questions?

Mussmann, Archer, Martinez, Yuan, Liu Squares and Cubes in Progressions

**∃** ⊳